

COTTONWOOD CREEK WATERSHED
STRATEGIC FUELS REDUCTION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acronyms	i
I EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. INTRODUCTION	2
III BACKGROUND	3
A. Purpose and Need	3
B. Location	3
C. Climate	3
D. Vegetation	4
E. Population	4
F. Fire History	5
IV. SUPPORTING PLANS, ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCIES	6
A. National Fire Plan	6
B. California Department of Forestry & Fire Protection	7
C. Cottonwood Watershed Fire Safe Council	8
D. Shasta County Fire Safe Council	9
E. Tehama Fire Council	9
F. CDF's Tehama-Glenn Unit Fire Plan	9
G. CDF Shasta County	15
H. Shasta Trinity National Forest	17
I. Beegum Watershed Analysis	17
J. USFS STNF Forest Wide LSR Assessment	19
V. ANALYSIS OF FUEL INVENTORY AND CONDITIONS	21
A. Fuel Weather and Topography	21
B. Fuel Inventory	22
C. BEHAVE – Fire Behavior	24
VI. VALUES AT RISK	29
A. Residences and Major Structures	29
B. Forest Land	30
C. Fish & Wildlife	30
D. Water Quality	31
VII. LANDOWNER MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	31
A. Introduction	31
B. Whiskeytown National Recreation Area	32
C. Bureau of Land Management	33
D. USDA Forest Service	34
E. Industrial Forestland Owners	36
F. Other Private	37

VIII. FUEL TREATMENTS	37
A. Introduction	37
B. Fire as a Management Tool	37
C. Shaded Fuelbreaks	39
D. Mechanical Treatment	40
E. Maintenance Treatment	41
IX. SOILS	43
X. FISH AND WILDLIFE	44
XI. ROADS FOR ACCESS	45
XII. BIOMASS ANALYSIS	48
XIII. POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES	50
XIV. FUELBREAK MAINTENANCE FUNDING	51
XV. VEGETATION EXAMPLES IN THE WATERSHED	52
XVI. RECOMMENDATIONS	64
XVII. REFERENCES	67
APPENDIX	70
A. GLOSSARY	71
B. FIRE BEHAVIOR FUEL MODEL DESCRIPTIONS	72
C. BEHAVE INPUT-OUTPUT DATA DEFINITIONS	74
D. BEHAVE RUNS	75
E. PROJECT TEAM	84
F. COMMUNITY FIRE SAFE FUEL REDUCTION GUIDELINES	85

FIGURES

1A.	FIRE CAUSES 1990-2001, ZONE 1	14
1B.	FIRE CAUSES 1990-2001, ZONE 2	14
2.	SHASTA COUNTY, FIRES BY CAUSE	15
3.	FIRE DANGER, SHASTA-TRINITY UNIT	17
4.	HERBIVORES USED IN FUEL REDUCTION	42
5.	BIOMASS COLLECTION IN ACTION	49

TABLES

1.	1990-2001, SHASTA COUNTY FIRE CAUSES	15
2.	FUEL MODEL TYPES	22
3.	FUEL INVENTORY RESULTS	24
4.	BEHAVE – Tehama Run	26
5.	BEHAVE – Shasta Run	27
6.	BEHAVE – Tehama: Increased Windspeed and Slope	28
7.	BEHAVE – Shasta: Increased Windspeed and Slope	28
8.	WILDLIFE AND PLANTS	44
9.	FUNDING SOURCES AND COST SHARE PROGRAMS	50
10.	PROPOSED PROJECT AREAS	64-66

MAPS

1.	GENERAL VEGETATION
2.	FIRE HISTORY
3.	FUEL MODEL (Acreages, see Table 2)
4.	LAND OWNERSHIP
5.	SOILS
6.	PLANT & WILDLIFE
7.	EXISTING ROADS MAP, EAST
8.	EXISTING ROADS MAP, WEST
9.	PROPOSED PROJECT AREAS, DETAILED
10.	STRATEGIC FUELS REDUCTION NETWORK

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
ACID	Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BWA	Beegum Watershed Assessment
CCW	Cottonwood Creek Watershed
CCWG	Cottonwood Creek Watershed Group
CDF	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
CNDDDB	California Natural Diversity Data Base
CRMP Group	Coordinated Resource Management Planning Group
DFG	California Department of Fish & Game
EHR	Erosion Hazard Rating
FB	Fuel break
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FMP	Fire Management Plan (Federal)
FRAP	Forest & Rangeland Resources Assessment Program
FSA	Farm Service Agency (USDA)
FSC	Fire Safe Council
LCPOA	Lake California Property Owners Association
LRMP	Land & Resource Management Plan
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
RCD	Resource Conservation District
RPF	Registered Professional Forester
STFMP	Shasta-Trinity Fire Management Plan
STNF	Shasta-Trinity National Forest
UC	University of California
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VMP	Vegetation Management Plan (California)
WNRA	Whiskeytown National Recreation Area
WRCC	Western Regional Climate Center
WSRCD	Western Shasta Resource Conservation District